

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

NORMA GONSALVES,
PRESIDING OFFICER

RULES COMMITTEE

NORMA GONSALVES,
CHAIRWOMAN

1550 Franklin Avenue
Mineola, New York

March 24, 2014
3:15 p.m.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES
516-747-7353

A P P E A R A N C E S:

NORMA GONSALVES
Chairwoman

RICHARD NICOLELLO
Vice Chairman

DENNIS DUNNE

HOWARD KOPEL

KEVAN ABRAHAMS
Ranking

JUDY JACOBS

CARRIE SOLAGES

WILLIAM J. MULLER, III, Clerk

LIST OF SPEAKERS

GREG MAY. 5
KEN ARNOLD. 5
EILEEN KRIEB. 13
RICH IDAVAO 19

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Would you like
3 to call the roll?

4 CLERK MULLER: Sure. Thank you,
5 Presiding Officer.

6 Legislator Solages?

7 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.

8 CLERK MULLER: Legislator Jacobs?

9 (No verbal response.)

10 CLERK MULLER: Ranking Member Abrahams?

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.

12 CLERK MULLER: Legislator Kopel?

13 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.

14 CLERK MULLER: Legislator Dunne?

15 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Here.

16 CLERK MULLER: Legislator Nicoletto?

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.

18 CLERK MULLER: Chairwoman Gonsalves?

19 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Present.

20 CLERK MULLER: We have a quorum.

21 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay.

22 There is only one item on the Rules
23 Committee, but there are several on the addendum.

2 We will begin with the first item, which is B-3-
3 14, a resolution authorizing the county executive
4 to award and execute a contract between the
5 County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Nassau
6 County Department of Public Works, and BSRJ A
7 Tri-Venture.

8 Motion, please?

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So moved.

10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

11 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
12 Legislator Nicolello, seconded by Legislator
13 Kopel.

14 CLERK MULLER: And Legislator Jacobs is
15 here.

16 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Great.
17 Okay. Who is here?

18 MR. MAY: We have Mr. Ken Arnold from
19 the Department of Public Works.

20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. This is
21 what we're all waiting for. Are you listening?
22 Legislator Denenberg, are you listening? You're
23 not on the committee. Then take your
24 conversation someplace else.

25 MR. ARNOLD: Item B-3-14 is a

1 construction contract with the Tri-Venture of
2 BSRJ. That's made up of Banner, Scalamandra and
3 RJ Industries. Those are three firms that have
4 worked with the county at Bay Park in the past.
5 This project is for the first phase of the
6 electrical rehabilitation work associated with
7 Hurricane Sandy. The work focuses on the
8 replacement of the switch gear.
9

10 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any questions of
11 Mr. Arnold? Minority Leader Abrahams.

12 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are you, Mr.
13 Arnold?

14 MR. ARNOLD: Good.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just some quick
16 questions. Could you tell us the cost of the
17 project, that's so much lower than they
18 originally anticipated?

19 MR. ARNOLD: You want to know why it's
20 so much lower?

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Why is the cost
22 lower? Yeah.

23 MR. ARNOLD: We had very competitive
24 bids on this project. The top four bids on this
25 project were all within a million dollars of each

other. It's a very good market right now for construction contracts. As we mentioned, the hearing of the perimeter wall contract also came in much under budget. It's just a very competitive market right now.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And what's Phase 2's cost going to be?

MR. ARNOLD: Phase 2 is going to be somewhere in the 200 million category.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: \$200 million?

MR. ARNOLD: Yeah. I believe the budget is actually in the -- it's actually in the report that we hand out, the exact number what the budget is. I just don't have it in front of me today.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I have a question.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Who has a question?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I do.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Solages.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you. Thank you very much, Chair.

When is this work anticipated to start?

MR. ARNOLD: We get through this committee today, the comptroller's office has to review it and then it goes to the full NIFA board meeting, which I'm not sure if we're going to make the March meeting or, if not, we'll get it on their April meeting, and then usually about three weeks after that notice of receipt is issued. So we're probably looking sometime in May.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Is this contract 100 percent covered by FEMA, since it's part of the capital budget, capital project 35123?

MR. ARNOLD: It's part of the \$830 million we received. This project is part of that funding, yes.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Yes. Minority Leader.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you, Madame Presiding Officer.

Mr. Arnold, just a follow up to trying to be able to get a comprehensive construction schedule. I know we talked about it at the

2 hearing last week. I am hopeful that we will be
3 able to have something in the next couple of
4 weeks regarding a very comprehensive construction
5 schedule.

6 What I was trying to strive for and look
7 for was something that kind of specified the work
8 that we can anticipate, what's going on at each
9 of the particular projects on a weekly basis,
10 like what's happening there on a weekly basis.

11 MR. ARNOLD: The master schedules that
12 the contractors and our CM companies go through
13 are updated on a monthly basis.

14 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. So that
15 document, if I can have that document. And how
16 far did that document project out to?

17 MR. ARNOLD: The project completion.

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I would love to
19 get that document.

20 MR. ARNOLD: Just so we're on the same
21 page. Until the contractor starts his work, we
22 don't have his schedule. We have our anticipate
23 engineer schedule. Until the contractor is
24 issued a notice to proceed, he submits a schedule
25 that we approve.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. That would be a great start because we have a lot of projects that are starting. I would love to see that. Thank you.

MR. ARNOLD: Okay.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other questions of Mr. Arnold?

(No verbal response.)

Any public comment?

(No verbal response.)

There being none; all those in favor of the item signify by saying aye.

(Aye.)

Any opposed?

(No verbal response.)

The item passes unanimously.

We have several items on an addendum. Therefore, I'm going to ask for a motion to suspend the rules.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by Legislator Kopel, seconded by Legislator Nicoletto.

All those in favor of suspending the rules signify by saying aye.

(Aye.)

Any opposed?

(No verbal response.)

The rules are suspended.

There are several items on the addendum. I'm going to call the first item, which is Item E-63, a resolution authorizing the parks commissioner to issue a use and occupancy permit to the Hagedorn Foundation.

Motion, please?

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by Legislator Kopel, seconded by Legislator Nicolello.

Who is here to speak on this one?

MR. MAY: I'm going to start on this one, Madam Presiding Officer, and if I need backup, I have some individuals here.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay.

MR. MAY: This is a use and occupancy agreement for the Hagedorn Foundation to occupy

2 the William Cullen Bryant House at the Cedarmere
3 Preserve. They are going to be paying us an
4 upfront fee of \$200,000. They are going to be
5 renovating the mansion, trying to bring it back
6 to as close to as historically accurate state as
7 possible. At the end -- let me back up for one
8 second.

9 The Hagedorn Foundation is a 501(c)(3)
10 spend down organization. So they are going to
11 currently cease to exist in 2017. So when they
12 go out, all of the capital improvements will
13 revert to the county and we will have,
14 essentially, a brand new, beautifully restored
15 mansion.

16 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Ms. Krieb, would
17 you like to add to it or he's covered it all?

18 MR. MAY: I covered it.

19 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Any
20 questions? Kevan.

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you, Madame
22 Presiding Officer.

23 How are you, Mr. May?

24 MR. MAY: Very good, thank you.
25 Yourself?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I just have three questions in regards to the actual renovation.

The interior will be restored or renovated into office space, correct?

MR. MAY: Correct.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And how will the structure be open to the public, which I believe was the original goal of the restoration work?

MS. KRIEB: Eileen Krieb, Parks Department. Good afternoon.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Good afternoon. How are you, Ms. Krieb?

MS. KRIEB: Good afternoon. The main floor has one large room that will be used -- actually, the first floor, they have shared use. It will be done by a calendar. There is a conference room, a library that the Friends of Cedarmere will be displaying the collections of William Cullen Bryant, and then there is a main room, that by calendar, they can coordinate that. We do the same thing at Nassau Hall with the Long Island Community Foundation right now.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. My last question is tied to -- I'm assuming that you're

2 working with Friends of Cedarmere, as well as
3 Roslyn Landmark Society and the Village of Roslyn
4 on what we're proposing today.

5 MS. KRIEB: Yes. The Village of Roslyn
6 Harbor.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Roslyn Harbor.

8 MS. KRIEB: Yes. The mayor and the
9 board, I've met with them and told them and told
10 them what the use would be, and they endorsed the
11 whole concept.

12 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The landmark
13 society and the friends of?

14 MR. KRIEB: The Friends of Cedarmere, as
15 I said, they're going to be, through our
16 agreement with them, they're going to have the
17 library, which is on the first floor, and we're
18 working with them to take some of the items that
19 are stored in collections right now and display
20 them for the public.

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.

22 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
23 comments?

24 (No verbal response.)

25 Any public comment?

(No verbal response.)

All those in favor of Clerk Item E-63 signify by saying aye.

(Aye.)

Any opposed?

(No verbal response.)

The item passes unanimously.

I have several on-call contracts; I'm going to call them all together.

The first one is E-64, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Department of Public Works, and Greenman-Pederson.

E-68, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Department of Public Works, and Gibbons, Esposito & Boyce, Engineers, P.C.

E-69, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Department of Public Works, and Cashin Associates, P.C.

E-70, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Department of Public Works, and Saving Engineers, P.C.

E-71, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Department of Public Works, and RBA Group.

E-72, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Department of Public Works, and Tectonic Engineering & Surveying Consultants, P.C.

E-73, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Department of Public Works, and De Bruin Engineering, P.C.

E-74, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on

1 behalf of the Department of Public Works, and
2
3 L.K. McLean Associates, P.C.

4 E-75 a resolution authorizing the county
5 executive to execute a personal services
6 agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on
7 behalf of the Department of Public Works, and
8 LIRO Engineers.

9 E-76, a resolution authorizing the county
10 executive to execute a personal services
11 agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on
12 behalf of the Department of Public Works, and
13 Lockwood, Kessler & Bartlett, Inc.

14 E-77, a resolution authorizing the county
15 executive to execute a personal services
16 agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on
17 behalf of the Department of Public Works, and
18 HAKS Engineers, Architects and Land Surveyors,
19 Inc.

20 E-78, a resolution authorizing the county
21 executive to execute a personal services
22 agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on
23 behalf of the Department of Public Works, and
24 Cameron Engineering & Associates.

25 Motion, please?

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.

Before I ask for comments from my
colleagues, these on-call contracts amount to a
great deal of money. We're going to be asking
the administration to provide the following:
One, the projects assigned to these contracts, we
need to know that; two, the amount paid and
anticipated to be paid per project; and we ask
that updates be provided regularly.

Do you have that, Mr. May?

MR. MAY: I do. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Now, any
questions from my colleagues? Legislator Jacobs.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I appreciate what
you just said, Madame Presiding Officer. I was
going to ask similar questions. I wanted to know
at this point can you tell us the projects that
are assigned to each of these particular items,
and, number two, do we know what we expect the
anticipated payment would be? Number three, are
they truly necessary? It seems like a lot that

1 we're being hit with all at once. So there are
2 three questions: Is it truly necessary,
3 approximately what are we assuming we're going to
4 be paying to each one, and what is the project
5 that they're going to be involved in?
6

7 MR. MAY: I have Mr. Rich Idavao here
8 from the Department of Public Works to answer
9 those questions. I'll take a quick shot at it.

10 Yes, they are necessary. The nature of
11 the contract is that they are on-call contracts.
12 So until we know what needs to be done, you can't
13 say necessarily a full list of projects. If
14 something comes up, we're able to send our guys
15 out to actually do the inspection work. But I'm
16 going to let Rich flesh that out a little bit.

17 MR. IDAVAO: Good afternoon. Rich
18 Idavao, DPW. How are you?

19 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Good. How are you?

20 MR. IDAVAO: Okay. As Mr. May stated,
21 these are on-call agreements and they will be
22 utilized to provide construction management and
23 inspection services for various highway, bridge,
24 drainage, culvert, requirements projects. At
25 this time they haven't been earmarked yet. And

2 when we get those projects to move towards
3 construction, we'll have an individual task
4 assignment proposal go out to these on-call
5 agreements and then we'll be able to have a
6 committee to also select the individual firm and
7 at that time we will know the cost of the
8 individual contract.

9 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: May I just follow it
10 up? As a layperson, just tell me why we need
11 this much money earmarked in advance. I'm just
12 trying to get my arms around it.

13 I know we've done on-call contracts,
14 usually it's very specific, usually, from my
15 recollections, it goes to one or two particular
16 projects. Correct me where I'm going wrong here.
17 This is an overall, on-call group of firms that
18 have been selected for, I guess, road
19 construction, bridges. Is that very specific
20 that it's all, like, what you're -- like bridges
21 or road construction or that type of thing or is
22 it less specific than that?

23 MR. IDAVAO: This is all civil and sight
24 type projects. So, as you stated, it's any kind
25 of bridge work, culvert work, highway

1 resurfacing, road improvements, sidewalk, curb,
2 all the requirements type work. There's a lot of
3 unknown, obviously. So we need on-call
4 agreements in place in order to provide the
5 construction management services as the projects
6 become available. So this is a two-year
7 agreement with a one year extension, and this
8 replaces an existing agreement that recently
9 expired. So you have to have several firms to
10 choose from so you can select the proper
11 inspectors or resident engineers and it will
12 provide the best value for Nassau County.

14 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Are most of these
15 based on an emergency? Do most of these things
16 happen on an emergency basis? Is that why
17 there's an on-call contract ready?

18 MR. IDAVAO: There are emergencies but
19 some of them are planned projects. Again, to go
20 out with an individual RFP for every project,
21 some of them are not that great in cost. We have
22 an on-call available and then at that time we
23 will put the individual task assignments out to
24 these firms, if this passes, and then we can
25 select the individuals for the best value of the

1 county.

2
3 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: And then that comes
4 to us at that point for the final approval or
5 not?

6 MR. IDAVAO: I don't think so. No.

7 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: That's why I'm
8 asking for these updates, Judy.

9 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Yes. I think you're
10 right. We should know the parameters then.

11 Listen. I understand. And I know that
12 this work has to be done, there's no question.
13 But I'm just concerned about us not having any
14 oversight on this if we don't really know what
15 each thing is really costing.

16 I happen to agree with the presiding
17 officer. I think getting a cost analysis
18 regularly will be very important to us.

19 Can I ask you one last thing?

20 MR. IDAVAO: Sure.

21 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: What was in place
22 already, and you said it just expired, was on
23 this same basis of on-call for a number of --

24 MR. IDAVAO: Yes.

25 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Okay. Thank you.

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I have a
3 question. The winter that we just went through
4 and are still experiencing, has that created an
5 additional need for these on-call contracts?

6 MR. IDAVAO: I would say yes because the
7 roads suffered a lot of damage throughout the
8 winter. So now obviously we have to evaluate all
9 the roads again and there may be need for
10 additional resurfacing or roadwork. So, yes.
11 Absolutely.

12 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you.
13 Legislator Solages.

14 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you. Good
15 afternoon. Good to see you.

16 I share the same concerns as the chair of
17 this committee and also my colleague, Legislator
18 Jacobs.

19 How do we determine the rates or set
20 amount of hours to do these jobs?

21 MR. IDAVAO: Again, the individual rates
22 and hours have not been determined yet. This is
23 basically an on-call to select the firms that
24 will provide the individuals that are needed for
25 individual assignments. It hasn't been

determined exactly what individuals would be provided or their rates.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: These firms, we have 12 all together, right?

MR. IDAVAO: Correct.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Who are the six that were not selected?

MR. IDAVAO: Dvirka & Bartellucci, Gideon, Hader, Field Associates, O'Connor, Petito and Vertex.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you. How did you decide upon approving 12, these 12 that would be utilized?

MR. IDAVAO: The committee used the technical score. And anything that was above an 80 was selected.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: And which firms will get which jobs?

MR. IDAVAO: That hasn't been determined yet. When we give the individual task assignments out, that's when we'll decide.

Also, might I add that this will also provide construction management and inspection services for federal aid resurfacing projects.

And if we don't properly inspect them, we risk losing the federal funding as well.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Which specific person will be responsible for overseeing all of this work and approving all these payments?

MR. IDAVAO: That would be me.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: That would be yourself.

MR. IDAVAO: Correct.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: If you can, again, provide this committee with an update of this, I would appreciate that.

MR. IDAVAO: Okay.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Deputy Presiding Officer Nicolello.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In terms of the mechanism as to how these on-call contracts will be paid, are they absorbed within the projects that are approved? In other words, the capital projects that they'll be working on, the actual money will come out of the projects that we're approving. Is that how that works?

MR. IDAVAO: Yes. That's correct.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In those cases there won't be a separate contract for an engineer because it will be provided on an on-call basis or will it?

MR. IDAVAO: If we select a resident engineer for a particular project, that will be paid out of the capital project that the project is being done under.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay. So if there was a resident engineer, then there's no need for an on-call engineer.

MR. IDAVAO: Well that would be under the on-call.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay.

MR. IDAVAO: This is to augment the county's workforce, obviously. If we can use county forces, we will. If we can't, then we need on-call, otherwise we can't proceed with the project.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Jacobs.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Very quick. Just one more.

2 Do all 12 that have won the right to be
3 on-call bid on each project that comes forward or
4 they have a right to bid on it?

5 MR. IDAVAO: They have a right to bid on
6 it. We don't force them to bid. If they decide
7 not to propose on an individual task because
8 they're staff are unavailable or that's not their
9 field of expertise they have a right to not
10 propose. But generally they will all propose, so
11 you have a good, broad base to choose from.

12 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Believe it or not, I
13 actually think I understand. These are the 12.
14 It's almost like in the bank, that you know that
15 they're there and when a project is coming up for
16 this type of thing, I guess it's you and the
17 committee decide which one is the one you're
18 going to grant it to.

19 MR. MAY: It's essentially like they're
20 being put on retainer.

21 MR. IDAVAO: These firms are essentially
22 prequalified and then the committee will actually
23 look at the technical ratings and then the cost
24 proposals as well. So it's almost like filling
25 an RFP again for individual tasks. This just

2 prequalifies the firms that we can send the
3 assignments to.

4 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I understand it.
5 And I agree with my colleagues here, on both
6 sides of the aisle, that I think just keeping us
7 informed as to how it's going along would be
8 helpful. I could see easily losing track of this
9 one.

10 MR. MAY: Just to be clear. With the
11 contract capital, these are about \$650,000. That
12 doesn't mean that each of these vendors is going
13 to receive the full amount of the contract. If
14 they don't get the work or don't bid for the
15 work, they're not going to receive that money.
16 This is not a guaranteed amount of money to these
17 12 contractors. It's just if they bid for the
18 work, it's capped at that.

19 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I fully understand.
20 I think that's good. That's the only reason that
21 I think the report, which the presiding officer
22 asked for, would be extremely helpful.

23 MR. MAY: Absolutely. And we will
24 provide that.

25 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Okay. Thank you.

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
3 legislators? Legislator Dunne.

4 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Quite simply, on-
5 call is as needed.

6 MR. IDAVAO: Correct.

7 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Like it always has
8 been.

9 MR. IDAVAO: Correct.

10 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Thank you. That's
11 it.

12 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any public
13 comment regarding these items?

14 (No verbal response.)

15 There being none; all those in favor of
16 the items called signify by saying aye.

17 (Aye.)

18 Any opposed?

19 (No verbal response.)

20 The items pass unanimously.

21 Thank you very much.

22 I believe that's the end of the Rules
23 Committee.

24 A motion to adjourn?

25 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Seconded by
3 Legislator Jacobs.

4 All those in favor signify by saying aye.

5 (Aye.)

6 Any opposed?

7 (No verbal response.)

8 We are adjourned.

9 (Whereupon, the Rules Committee adjourned
10 at 3:40 p.m.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby state:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 15th day of April, 2014.

FRANK GRAY